

THE NOVIKOV-VESELOV EQUATION AND THE INVERSE SCATTERING METHOD, PART I: ANALYSIS

M LASSAS, J L MUELLER, S SILTANEN AND A STAHEL

ABSTRACT. The Novikov-Veselov (NV) equation is a (2+1)-dimensional nonlinear evolution equation that generalizes the (1+1)-dimensional Korteweg-deVries (KdV) equation. Solution of the NV equation using the inverse scattering method has been discussed in the literature, but only formally (or with smallness assumptions in case of nonzero energy) because of the possibility of exceptional points, or singularities in the scattering data. In this work, absence of exceptional points is proved at zero energy for evolutions with compactly supported, smooth and rotationally symmetric initial data of the conductivity type: $q_0 = \gamma^{-1/2} \Delta \gamma^{1/2}$ with a strictly positive function γ . The inverse scattering evolution is shown to be well-defined, real-valued, and preserving conductivity-type. There is no smallness assumption on the initial data.

Version 4 (submitted), May 19, 2011

1. INTRODUCTION

The nonlinear Novikov-Veselov equation for $q_\tau = q_\tau(z) = q_\tau(x, y)$ is

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial q_\tau}{\partial \tau} &= -\partial_z^3 q_\tau - \bar{\partial}_z^3 q_\tau + \frac{3}{4} \partial_z (q_\tau v_\tau) + \frac{3}{4} \bar{\partial}_z (q_\tau \bar{v}_\tau), \\ v_\tau(z) &= \bar{\partial}_z^{-1} \partial_z q_\tau(z), \end{cases}$$

where $\tau \geq 0$ and $\bar{\partial}_z = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i\frac{\partial}{\partial y})$. Equation (1.1) is a (2+1)-dimensional generalization of the celebrated Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) equation [18]. In 1984, S. P. Novikov and Veselov introduced (1.1) in a periodic setting in [23, 28] as a continuation of the work by Nizhnik [22]. Equation (1.1) is the most natural generalization of the KdV equation to dimension (2+1) since the variables x and y have more symmetric roles in (1.1) than they do in other generalizations, such as the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation, see [6].

The study of equation (1.1) in the non-periodic setting ($z \in \mathbb{R}^2$) via the inverse scattering method was initiated by Boiti, Leon, Manna and Pempinelli [7, 8] and continued by Tsai [25, 26, 27]. They discuss the following formal inverse scattering

scheme for solving the Cauchy problem for (1.1):

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{t}_0^+(k) & \xrightarrow{\exp(i\tau(k^3 + \bar{k}^3))} & \mathbf{t}_\tau^+(k) \\ \mathcal{T}^+ \updownarrow \mathcal{Q}^+ & & \mathcal{T}^+ \updownarrow \mathcal{Q}^+ \\ q_0(z) & \xrightarrow{\text{nonlinear evolution (1.1)}} & q_\tau^{\text{NV}}(z), \end{array}$$

where \mathcal{T}^+ and \mathcal{Q}^+ stand for the direct and inverse nonlinear Fourier transform, respectively, and the function $\mathbf{t}_\tau^+ : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called the *scattering transform*. Precise definitions of \mathcal{T}^+ , \mathcal{Q}^+ and \mathbf{t}_τ^+ are given below in Section 2. The definition of q_τ involves point-wise multiplication in the transform domain:

$$(1.3) \quad q_\tau := \mathcal{Q}^+ \left(e^{i\tau(k^3 + \bar{k}^3)} \mathbf{t}_0^+(k) \right),$$

and q_τ^{NV} is defined as the solution of (1.1) with initial condition $q_0^{\text{NV}} = q_0$.

The diagram (1.2) is written with the hope that for certain initial data q_0 all the maps in (1.2) would be well-defined and $q_\tau = q_\tau^{\text{NV}}$. Then the nonlinear Novikov-Veselov equation (1.1) could be solved by a linear operation on the transform side, analogously to the celebrated inverse scattering method for the KdV equation [10]. However, so far the analysis of diagram (1.2) is only formal, and the identity $q_\tau = q_\tau^{\text{NV}}$ has not been rigorously proved for any class of initial data.

Why is the inverse scattering method (1.2) so difficult to analyze? The key obstacle in the investigation of (1.2) is the possibility of *exceptional points* of q_τ . Exceptional points are values of the generalized frequency-domain variable $k \in \mathbb{C}$ at which the solutions to the related (non-physical) scattering problem are not unique, meaning that nonzero radiating solutions exist for zero incident field. At exceptional points the scattering data $\mathbf{t}_\tau^+(k)$ is not well-defined and possibly singular. The operator \mathcal{Q}^+ is not defined for singular argument functions, which prevents the use of (1.2) if there are exceptional points. Furthermore, taking a small initial potential q_0 does not save the day because the related Faddeev Green's function has a $\log |k|$ singularity at $k = 0$. Consequently, Neumann series techniques cannot be used in general to prove the absence of exceptional points; currently one has to resort to (rather instable) Fredholm arguments.

The following class of *conductivity-type potentials* is useful as a source of initial data because such potentials do not have exceptional points [20, Lemma 1.5].

Definition 1.1. *A potential $q \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with $1 < p < 2$ is of conductivity type if $q = \gamma^{-1/2} \Delta \gamma^{1/2}$ for some real-valued $\gamma \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ satisfying $\gamma(z) \geq c > 0$ for almost every $z \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\nabla(\gamma^{1/2}) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)$.*

The term “conductivity” and the seemingly superficial square roots in definition 1.1 come from the related studies of Calderón’s inverse conductivity problem, see [20]. We use these terms and notations here because it turns out that the inverse scattering evolution preserves conductivity-type, and the evolving conductivity may have a (yet unknown) physical interpretation.

Let us recall what is known about the diagram (1.2).

- **Boiti, Leon, Manna and Pempinelli 1987** [8]: assume that q_0 is such that the solution q_τ^{NV} to (1.1) exists and does not have exceptional points. Then the scattering data evolves as $\mathcal{T}^+(q_\tau^{\text{NV}}) = e^{i\tau(k^3 + \bar{k}^3)} \mathcal{T}^+(q_0)$.
- **Tsai 1994** [27]: take q_0 from a certain class of small and rapidly decaying initial data (the class excludes conductivity-type potentials). Assume that q_0 has no exceptional points and that q_τ is well-defined by (1.3). Then q_τ is a solution of the Novikov-Veselov equation (1.1).
- **Nachman 1996** [20]: let q_0 be of conductivity type. Then q_0 does not have exceptional points and the scattering data $\mathcal{T}^+(q_0)$ is well-defined.
- **L-M-S 2007** [19]: let q_0 be a smooth, compactly supported conductivity-type potential with $\gamma \equiv 1$ outside $\text{supp}(q_0)$. Then $\mathcal{Q}^+(\mathcal{T}^+q_0) = q_0$. Further, formula (1.3) gives a well-defined continuous function $q_\tau : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying the estimate $|q_\tau(z)| \leq C(1 + |z|)^{-2}$ for all $\tau > 0$.

Nachman’s work paved the way for rigorous results: all studies about diagram (1.2) published before [20] were formal as they had to assume the absence of exceptional points without specifying acceptable initial data.

We remark that the above discussion concerns only the “zero-energy case” where initial data $q_0(z)$ tends to zero when $|z| \rightarrow \infty$. There is a body of work concerning inverse scattering solutions for the Novikov-Veselov solution when the initial data tends to a nonzero constant at infinity, see [13, 14, 15, 16] and the review article [12]. However, those results are based on a smallness assumption of initial data being close enough to a nonzero constant function; then there are no exceptional points. This paper is concerned with the zero-energy case where smallness assumptions cannot be used for proving the absence of exceptional points.

We prove the following new results. Let q_0 be a real-valued, infinitely smooth, compactly supported conductivity-type potential with $\gamma \equiv 1$ outside $\text{supp}(q_0)$. If the initial data has the rotational symmetry $q_0(z) = q_0(|z|)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{R}^2$, then q_τ stays real-valued for all $\tau \geq 0$. Furthermore, q_τ is a conductivity-type potential and does not have exceptional points. Also, the scattering data of q_τ is well-defined and the following identity holds:

$$(1.4) \quad \mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{Q}^+ \mathbf{t}_\tau^+) = \mathbf{t}_\tau^+.$$

The precise statement and assumptions are given below in Corollary 7.1. We remark that there is no smallness assumption on the initial data.

Our results are not completely restricted to compactly supported initial data satisfying $q_0(z) = q_0(|z|)$. For example, evolutions from symmetric initial data are valid starting points of another evolution; then the initial data is not necessarily symmetric or compactly supported. See Section 7 for more details.

In Part II of this paper we compare numerically q_τ and q_τ^{NV} for several examples with rotationally symmetric, compactly supported initial data of conductivity type. The evolutions q_τ and q_τ^{NV} are found to agree with high precision, suggesting that the equality $q_\tau = q_\tau^{\text{NV}}$ holds. However, rigorous proof of that identity remains an open theoretical problem; see Appendix A.2.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we define the direct and inverse nonlinear Fourier transforms \mathcal{T}^\pm and \mathcal{Q}^\pm . In section 3 we prove new estimates for the complex geometric optics solutions used in the inverse scattering method. Section 4 contains results concerning rotationally symmetric initial data. Section 5 is devoted for a proof that if the evolved potential q_τ stays real-valued, then it stays conductivity-type. In Section 6 we prove identity (1.4), and in Section 7 we conclude our results. Throughout the paper we denote $\langle z \rangle = 1 + |z|$ and abuse notation by writing $k_1 + ik_2 = k = (k_1, k_2)$ and $x + iy = z = (x, y)$.

2. THE INVERSE SCATTERING METHOD

2.1. The direct scattering maps \mathcal{T}^\pm . Consider the Schrödinger equation

$$(2.1) \quad (-\Delta + q)\psi^\pm(\cdot, k) = 0,$$

where $q \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is a real-valued potential with $1 < p < 2$ and k is a complex parameter. We look for *complex geometrical optics solutions* ψ^\pm of (2.1) with asymptotic behavior

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^+(z, k) &\sim e^{ikz} = \exp(i(k_1 + ik_2)(x + iy)), \\ \psi^-(z, k) &\sim e^{ik\bar{z}} = \exp(i(k_1 + ik_2)(x - iy)). \end{aligned}$$

Such solutions were first introduced by Faddeev [9]. More precisely, we require

$$(2.2) \quad e^{-ikz}\psi^+(z, k) - 1 \in W^{1, \tilde{p}}(\mathbb{R}^2),$$

$$(2.3) \quad e^{-ik\bar{z}}\psi^-(z, k) - 1 \in W^{1, \tilde{p}}(\mathbb{R}^2),$$

where $1/\tilde{p} = 1/p - 1/2$. Here $W^{1, \tilde{p}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is the Sobolev space consisting of $L^{\tilde{p}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ functions whose (distributional) partial derivatives belong to $L^{\tilde{p}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ as well. Note that $\tilde{p} > 2$ and by Sobolev's imbedding theorem $W^{1, \tilde{p}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ functions are continuous.

Given a potential q , there may be complex numbers k for which the solutions ψ^\pm of (2.1) satisfying (2.2) and (2.3) are not unique. Such k are called *exceptional points* of q .

If q has no exceptional points, then we write $\mu^+(z, k) := e^{-ikz}\psi^+(z, k)$ and $\mu^-(z, k) := e^{-ik\bar{z}}\psi^-(z, k)$ and write formally

$$(2.4) \quad \mathbf{t}^+(k) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{i(kz+\bar{k}\bar{z})} q(z) \mu^+(z, k) dz,$$

$$(2.5) \quad \mathbf{t}^-(k) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{i(\bar{k}z+k\bar{z})} q(z) \mu^-(z, k) dz.$$

If the integrals in (2.4) and (2.5) are convergent, then $\mathbf{t}^\pm : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are well-defined and we set $\mathcal{T}^\pm(q) = \mathbf{t}^\pm$.

For example, compactly supported conductivity-type potentials do not have exceptional points and lead to convergent integrals in (2.4) and (2.5), see [20].

Real-valuedness of q results in symmetries in scattering data. Complex conjugating equation (2.1) for ψ^+ gives

$$(2.6) \quad 0 = \overline{(-\Delta + q)\psi^+(z, k)} = (-\Delta + q)\overline{\psi^+(z, k)},$$

and conjugating the corresponding asymptotic condition (2.2) gives

$$(2.7) \quad \overline{e^{-ikz}\psi^+(z, k) - 1} = e^{-i(-\bar{k})\bar{z}}\overline{\psi^+(z, k) - 1} \in W^{1, \bar{p}}(\mathbb{R}^2).$$

Comparing (2.1) with (2.6) and (2.3) with (2.7), and using uniqueness, shows that

$$(2.8) \quad \overline{\psi^+(z, k)} = \psi^-(z, -\bar{k}).$$

Furthermore, complex conjugating equation (2.4) and substituting (2.8) yields

$$(2.9) \quad \overline{\mathbf{t}^+(k)} = \mathbf{t}^-(-\bar{k}).$$

2.2. The inverse scattering maps \mathcal{Q}^\pm . Given two functions $\mathbf{t}^\pm : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, consider the D-bar equations

$$(2.10) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{k}} \mu^+(z, k) = \frac{\mathbf{t}^+(k)}{4\pi \bar{k}} e^{-i(kz+\bar{k}\bar{z})} \overline{\mu^+(z, k)},$$

$$(2.11) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{k}} \mu^-(z, k) = \frac{\mathbf{t}^-(k)}{4\pi \bar{k}} e^{-i(k\bar{z}+\bar{k}z)} \overline{\mu^-(z, k)},$$

with a fixed parameter $z \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and requiring large $|k|$ asymptotics $\mu^\pm(z, \cdot) - 1 \in L^\infty \cap L^r(\mathbb{C})$ for some $2 < r < \infty$.

Assuming that equations (2.10) and (2.11) have unique solutions with the appropriate asymptotic properties, set $\psi^+(z, k) := e^{ikz}\mu^+(z, k)$ and $\psi^-(z, k) := e^{ik\bar{z}}\mu^-(z, k)$ and define formally

$$(2.12) \quad (\mathcal{Q}^+\mathbf{t}^+)(z) := \frac{i}{\pi^2} \bar{\partial}_z \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathbf{t}^+(k)}{\bar{k}} e^{-ikz} \overline{\psi^+(z, k)} dk,$$

$$(2.13) \quad (\mathcal{Q}^-\mathbf{t}^-)(z) := \frac{i}{\pi^2} \partial_z \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathbf{t}^-(k)}{\bar{k}} e^{-ik\bar{z}} \overline{\psi^-(z, k)} dk,$$

where dk denotes Lebesgue measure: $\int_{\mathbb{C}} f(k) dk = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(k_1, k_2) dk_1 dk_2$.

The inverse transform (2.12) first appeared in [8, formula (4.10)]. See the work of Beals & Coifman [2, 3, 4, 5], Ablowitz & Nachman [1, 21] and Henkin & Novikov [17] for early references on the $\bar{\partial}$ method.

The functions $\mathbf{t}^\pm : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ need to be “well-behaved” in order for equations (2.10) and (2.11) to be uniquely solvable, for the integrals in (2.12) and (2.13) to be convergent, and for the derivatives in (2.12) and (2.13) to make sense. According to [19], one example of good-enough behaviour is the following pair of assumptions:

$$\frac{\mathbf{t}^\pm(k)}{k} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C}), \quad \frac{\mathbf{t}^\pm(k)}{\bar{k}} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C}),$$

where $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C})$ denotes rapidly decaying and infinitely smooth functions of Schwartz.

3. PROPERTIES OF SOLUTIONS OF THE $\bar{\partial}$ EQUATIONS

This section is concerned with solutions $\mu^\pm(z, k)$ of the $\bar{\partial}$ equations (2.10) and (2.11). The functions $\mu^\pm(z, 0)$ are especially important as they will play a central role in later sections in the analysis of conductivity-type potentials. We start by analyzing the decay in $|\mu^\pm(z, 0) - 1|$ when $|z| \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\mathbf{t}^\pm : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfy*

$$|\mathbf{t}^\pm(k)| \leq C|k|^2 \text{ for small } |k|, \quad \frac{\mathbf{t}^\pm(k)}{k} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C}), \quad \frac{\mathbf{t}^\pm(k)}{\bar{k}} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C}).$$

Fix $2 < r < \infty$. For every $z \in \mathbb{R}^2$, let $\mu^\pm(z, k)$ be the unique solutions of the D -bar equations (2.10) and (2.11) with the asymptotic condition $\mu^\pm(z, \cdot) - 1 \in L^r \cap L^\infty$.

Then the following estimate holds for all $z \in \mathbb{R}^2$:

$$(3.1) \quad |\mu^\pm(z, 0) - 1| \leq C\langle z \rangle^{-1}.$$

Proof. We prove estimate (3.1) for μ^+ only; the proof for μ^- is analogous.

Denote $e_z^+(k) := \exp(i(kz + \bar{k}\bar{z}))$ and use equation

$$(3.2) \quad \mu^+(z, 0) := \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \mu^+(z, s) = 1 + \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{\mathbf{t}^+(k)}{|k|^2} e_{-z}^+(k) \overline{\mu^+(z, k)} dk$$

(given in [20, formula (0.38)]) to write

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu^+(z, 0) - 1 &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathbf{t}^+(k)}{|k|^2} e_{-z}^+(k) \overline{\mu^+(z, k)} dk \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathbf{t}^+(k)}{|k|^2} e_{-z}^+(k) dk \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.4) \quad + \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathbf{t}^+(k)}{|k|^2} e_{-z}^+(k) (\overline{\mu^+(z, k) - 1}) dk.$$

Now term (3.3) is finite because $|k|^{-2} \mathbf{t}^+(k) \in L^1(\mathbb{C})$ by assumption. Term (3.4) is finite because of Hölder’s inequality and the inequalities $\| |k|^{-2} \mathbf{t}^+(k) \|_{L^{r'}(\mathbb{C})} < \infty$

and $\|\mu^+(z, \cdot) - 1\|_{L^r(\mathbb{C})} \leq C < \infty$ with C not depending on z (see [20, formula 4.1]). Therefore

$$(3.5) \quad \|\mu^+(z, 0)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} < \infty.$$

We can bound term (3.3) as follows to study its behaviour when $|z| \rightarrow \infty$:

$$\begin{aligned}
& |z| \left| \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathbf{t}^+(k)}{|k|^2} e_{-z}^+(k) dk \right| \\
&= \left| -iz \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathbf{t}^+(k)}{|k|^2} e_{-z}^+(k) dk \right| \\
&= \left| \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathbf{t}^+(k)}{k\bar{k}} \frac{\partial e_{-z}^+(k)}{\partial k} dk \right| \\
&\leq \left| \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{e_{-z}^+(k)}{\bar{k}} \frac{\partial}{\partial k} \left(\frac{\mathbf{t}^+(k)}{k} \right) dk \right| \\
(3.6) \quad &+ \left| \int_{\mathbb{C}} \pi \delta_0(k) \frac{\mathbf{t}^+(k)}{k} e_{-z}^+(k) dk \right| \\
&\leq \left\| \frac{1}{\bar{k}} \frac{\partial}{\partial k} \left(\frac{\mathbf{t}^+(k)}{k} \right) \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{C})} \\
&\leq \left\| \frac{1}{\bar{k}} \right\|_{L^1(|k|<1)} \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial k} \left(\frac{\mathbf{t}^+(k)}{k} \right) \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{C})} + \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial k} \left(\frac{\mathbf{t}^+(k)}{k} \right) \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{C})} \\
(3.7) \quad &< \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that term (3.6) vanishes since $\lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \mathbf{t}^+(k)/k = 0$ by assumption .

Let us now bound term (3.4).

$$\begin{aligned}
& |z| \left| \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathbf{t}^+(k)}{|k|^2} e_{-z}^+(k) \overline{(\mu^+(z, k) - 1)} dk \right| \\
&= \left| \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathbf{t}^+(k)}{k\bar{k}} \frac{\partial e_{-z}^+(k)}{\partial k} \overline{(\mu^+(z, k) - 1)} dk \right| \\
(3.8) \quad &\leq \left| \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{1}{\bar{k}} e_{-z}^+(k) \overline{(\mu^+(z, k) - 1)} \frac{\partial}{\partial k} \left(\frac{\mathbf{t}^+(k)}{k} \right) dk \right| \\
(3.9) \quad &+ \left| \int_{\mathbb{C}} \pi \delta_0(k) \frac{\mathbf{t}^+(k)}{k} e_{-z}^+(k) \overline{(\mu^+(z, k) - 1)} dk \right| \\
(3.10) \quad &+ \left| \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathbf{t}^+(k)}{|k|^2} e_{-z}^+(k) \overline{\partial_k \mu^+(z, k)} dk \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly to the case of term (3.6), the term (3.9) vanishes. We can bound (3.8) using Hölder inequality (we have $1 < r' < 2$ since $2 < r < \infty$) and [19, Lemma 3.3]:

$$(3.11) \quad \left\| \frac{1}{\bar{k}} \frac{\partial}{\partial k} \left(\frac{\mathbf{t}^+(k)}{k} \right) \right\|_{L^{r'}(\mathbb{C})} \|\mu^+(z, \cdot) - 1\|_{L^r(\mathbb{C})} \leq C \langle z \rangle^{-1}.$$

The $L^{r'}$ norm in (3.11) is finite because at infinity we have rapid decay and near the origin the derivative of $\mathbf{t}^+(k)/k \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C})$ is smooth and $|k|^{-r'}$ is integrable as $r' < 2$.

To bound (3.10) note that we get from the $\bar{\partial}$ equation

$$(3.12) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{k}} \mu^+(z, k) = \frac{\mathbf{t}^+(k)}{4\pi \bar{k}} e_{-z}^+(k) \overline{(\mu^+(z, k) - 1)} + \frac{\mathbf{t}^+(k)}{4\pi \bar{k}} e_{-z}^+(k).$$

Estimate (3.10) using (3.12) and [19, Lemma 3.3]:

$$(3.13) \quad \left| \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathbf{t}^+(k)}{|k|^2} e_{-z}^+(k) \overline{\left(\frac{\mathbf{t}^+(k)}{4\pi \bar{k}} e_{-z}^+(k) \overline{(\mu^+(z, k) - 1)} + \frac{\mathbf{t}^+(k)}{4\pi \bar{k}} e_{-z}^+(k) \right)} dk \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \left| \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{|\mathbf{t}^+(k)|^2}{k|k|^2} (\mu^+(z, k) - 1) dk \right| + \frac{1}{4\pi} \left| \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{|\mathbf{t}^+(k)|^2}{k|k|^2} dk \right|$$

$$(3.14) \quad \leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \left\| \frac{1}{k} \frac{\mathbf{t}^+(k)}{k} \right\|_{L^{r'}(\mathbb{C})}^2 \|\mu^+(z, k) - 1\|_{L^r(\mathbb{C})} + C'$$

$$(3.15) \quad \leq C \langle z \rangle^{-1} + C'.$$

Note that the second integral in (3.13) and the $L^{r'}$ norm in (3.14) are finite by the assumption that $|\mathbf{t}^+(k)| \leq C|k|^2$ for k near zero.

Combining (3.3), (3.4), (3.7), (3.8), (3.10), (3.11) and (3.15) yields

$$|z| |\mu^+(z, 0) - 1| \leq C,$$

which together with (3.5) gives $|\mu^+(z, 0) - 1| \leq C \langle z \rangle^{-1}$. \square

Definition 1.1 of conductivity-type potentials includes a derivative condition. The following lemma will be used in Section 5 for proving such a condition for the evolved potential.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\mathbf{t}^\pm : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfy*

$$|\mathbf{t}^\pm(k)| \leq C|k|^2 \text{ for small } |k|, \quad \frac{\mathbf{t}^\pm(k)}{k} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C}), \quad \frac{\mathbf{t}^\pm(k)}{\bar{k}} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C}).$$

Fix $2 < r < \infty$. For every $z \in \mathbb{R}^2$, let $\mu^\pm(z, k)$ be the unique solutions of the D-bar equations (2.10) and (2.11) with the asymptotic condition $\mu^\pm(z, \cdot) - 1 \in L^r \cap L^\infty$. Then

$$(3.16) \quad |\nabla \mu^\pm(z, 0)| \leq C \langle z \rangle^{-2} \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Proof. We prove estimate (3.16) for μ^+ only; the proof for μ^- is analogous.

We first prove the estimate $|\bar{\partial}_z \mu^+(z, 0)| \leq C\langle z \rangle^{-2}$. Differentiate (3.2) to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{\partial}_z \mu^+(z, 0) \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \left(-i \frac{\mathbf{t}^+(k)}{k} e_{-z}^+(k) \overline{\mu^+(z, k)} dk + \frac{\mathbf{t}^+(k)}{|k|^2} e_{-z}^+(k) \overline{\partial_z \mu^+(z, k)} \right) dk \\ (3.17) \quad &= \frac{-i}{4\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathbf{t}^+(k)}{k} e_{-z}^+(k) dk \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (3.18) \quad &+ \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \left(-i \frac{\mathbf{t}^+(k)}{k} e_{-z}^+(k) \overline{(\mu^+(z, k) - 1)} dk \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\mathbf{t}^+(k)}{|k|^2} e_{-z}^+(k) \overline{\partial_z \mu^+(z, k)} \right) dk. \end{aligned}$$

Now (3.17) is rapidly decaying since it is the Fourier transform of a Schwartz function. Let us estimate (3.18). Integration by parts and applying equation (2.10) yields for $z \neq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} (3.19) \quad & |z| \left| -i \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathbf{t}^+(k)}{k} e_{-z}^+(k) \overline{(\mu^+(z, k) - 1)} dk + \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathbf{t}^+(k)}{|k|^2} e_{-z}^+(k) \overline{\partial_z \mu^+(z, k)} dk \right| \\ &= \left| -iz \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathbf{t}^+(k)}{k} e_{-z}^+(k) \overline{(\mu^+(z, k) - 1)} dk + i(-iz) \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathbf{t}^+(k)}{|k|^2} e_{-z}^+(k) \overline{\partial_z \mu^+(z, k)} dk \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathbf{t}^+(k)}{k} \frac{\partial e_{-z}^+(k)}{\partial k} \overline{(\mu^+(z, k) - 1)} dk + i \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathbf{t}^+(k)}{|k|^2} \frac{\partial e_{-z}^+(k)}{\partial k} \overline{\partial_z \mu^+(z, k)} dk \right| \\ &= \left| - \int_{\mathbb{C}} e_{-z}^+(k) \left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial k} \left(\frac{\mathbf{t}^+(k)}{k} \right) \right) \overline{(\mu^+(z, k) - 1)} + \frac{\mathbf{t}^+(k)}{k} \overline{\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{k}} \mu^+(z, k) \right)} \right] dk \right. \\ &\quad \left. - i \int_{\mathbb{C}} e_{-z}^+(k) \left[\overline{\partial_z \mu^+(z, k)} \frac{\partial}{\partial k} \left(\frac{\mathbf{t}^+(k)}{|k|^2} \right) + \frac{\mathbf{t}^+(k)}{|k|^2} \overline{\partial_z \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{k}} \mu^+(z, k) \right)} \right] dk \right|. \end{aligned}$$

From equation (2.10) we get $\overline{\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{k}} \mu^+(z, k) \right)} = \frac{\overline{\mathbf{t}^+(k)}}{4\pi k} e_z^+(k) \mu^+(z, k)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\partial}_z \overline{\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{k}} \mu^+(z, k) \right)} &= \bar{\partial}_z \left(\frac{\overline{\mathbf{t}^+(k)}}{4\pi k} e_z^+(k) \mu^+(z, k) \right) \\ &= i\bar{k} \frac{\overline{\mathbf{t}^+(k)}}{4\pi k} e_z^+(k) \mu^+(z, k) + \frac{\overline{\mathbf{t}^+(k)}}{4\pi k} e_z^+(k) \bar{\partial}_z \mu^+(z, k). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, after cancellation of two terms containing $\frac{\overline{\mathbf{t}^+(k)}}{4\pi k}e_{-z}^+(k)\mu^+(z, k)$, we see that (3.19) is bounded by

$$(3.20) \quad \left| \int_{\mathbb{C}} e_{-z}^+(k) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial k} \left(\frac{\mathbf{t}^+(k)}{k} \right) \right) \overline{(\mu^+(z, k) - 1)} dk \right|$$

$$(3.21) \quad + \left| \int_{\mathbb{C}} e_{-z}^+(k) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial k} \left(\frac{1}{\bar{k}} \frac{\mathbf{t}^+(k)}{k} \right) \right) \overline{\partial_z \mu^+(z, k)} dk \right|$$

$$(3.22) \quad + \left| \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{|\mathbf{t}^+(k)|^2}{4\pi k |\bar{k}|^2} \bar{\partial}_z \mu^+(z, k) dk \right|.$$

We next estimate each of the terms (3.20), (3.21) and (3.22) separately.

Hölder's inequality and [19, Lemma 3.3] show that term (3.20) is bounded by

$$(3.23) \quad \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial k} \left(\frac{\mathbf{t}^+(k)}{k} \right) \right\|_{L^{r'}(\mathbb{C})} \|\mu^+(z, k) - 1\|_{L^r(\mathbb{C})} \leq C' \langle z \rangle^{-1},$$

where the $L^{r'}$ norm is finite since by assumption $\mathbf{t}^+(k)/k \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C})$. Term (3.21) can be estimated using Hölder's inequality and [19, Lemma 3.4]:

$$(3.24) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbb{C}} e_{-z}^+(k) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial k} \left(\frac{1}{\bar{k}} \frac{\mathbf{t}^+(k)}{k} \right) \right) \overline{\partial_z \mu^+(z, k)} dk \right| \\ &= \left| \pi \int_{\mathbb{C}} \delta_0(k) \frac{\mathbf{t}^+(k)}{k} e_{-z}^+(k) \overline{\partial_z \mu^+(z, k)} dk \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{e_{-z}^+(k)}{\bar{k}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial k} \left(\frac{\mathbf{t}^+(k)}{k} \right) \right) \overline{\partial_z \mu^+(z, k)} dk \right| \\ &\leq \left\| \frac{1}{\bar{k}} \frac{\partial}{\partial k} \left(\frac{\mathbf{t}^+(k)}{k} \right) \right\|_{L^{r'}(\mathbb{C})} \|\partial_z \mu^+(z, k)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{C})} \leq C' \langle z \rangle^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where the term containing $\delta_0(k)$ vanishes by the assumption since $\lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \mathbf{t}^+(k)/k = 0$. The finiteness of the $L^{r'}$ norm in (3.24) is seen as in (3.11). Similarly, (3.22) is bounded by

$$(3.25) \quad \begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{|\mathbf{t}^+(k)|^2}{4\pi k |\bar{k}|^2} \bar{\partial}_z \mu^+(z, k) dk \right| &\leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \left\| \frac{1}{\bar{k}} \left(\frac{\mathbf{t}^+(k)}{k} \right)^2 \right\|_{L^{r'}(\mathbb{C})} \|\bar{\partial}_z \mu^+(z, k)\|_{L^r(\mathbb{C})} \\ &\leq C' \langle z \rangle^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.23), (3.24) and (3.25) with (3.19) shows that (3.18) is bounded by $C \langle z \rangle^{-2}$. Thus we may conclude that $|\bar{\partial}_z \mu^+(z, 0)| \leq C \langle z \rangle^{-2}$. The proof for $|\partial_z \mu^+(z, 0)| \leq C \langle z \rangle^{-2}$ is analogous and uses the assumption $\mathbf{t}^+(k)/\bar{k} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C})$. Together these two estimates yield (3.16). \square

4. RADially SYMMETRIC INITIAL DATA

Theorem 4.1. *Let $q_0 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ be real-valued and of conductivity type in the sense of Definition 1.1 with $\gamma \equiv 1$ outside the support of q_0 . Furthermore, assume that q_0 is rotationally symmetric: $q_0(z) = q_0(|z|)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{R}^2$.*

Then q_τ defined by (1.3) is real-valued for all $\tau \geq 0$.

Proof. Theorem 3.3 of [24] implies that \mathbf{t}_0^+ is rotationally symmetric and real-valued:

$$(4.1) \quad \mathbf{t}_0^+(k) = \mathbf{t}_0^+(|k|), \quad \overline{\mathbf{t}_0^+(k)} = \mathbf{t}_0^+(k).$$

The proof of (4.1) is based on first using uniqueness of solutions to the Schrödinger equation (2.1) with the asymptotic condition (2.2) to show

$$(4.2) \quad \mu_0^+(z, k) = \mu_0^+(e^{i\varphi}z, e^{-i\varphi}k),$$

$$(4.3) \quad \mu_0^+(z, k) = \overline{\mu_0^+(-\bar{z}, \bar{k})},$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $k \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\varphi \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $\mathbf{t}(k) = \mathbf{t}(e^{i\varphi}k)$ and $\mathbf{t}(k) = \overline{\mathbf{t}(\bar{k})}$ by substituting (4.2) and (4.3) to formula (2.4), and (4.1) follows.

From the real-valuedness of q_0 and formula (2.8) we know that

$$(4.4) \quad \overline{\mu_0^+(z, k)} = \mu_0^-(z, -\bar{k}),$$

and we can calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{t}_0^-(-\bar{k}) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-i((-\bar{k})\bar{z} + (-\bar{k})z)} q_0(z) \overline{\mu_0^-(z, -\bar{k})} dz \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{i(\bar{k}\bar{z} + kz)} q_0(z) \overline{\mu_0^+(z, k)} dz \\ &= \overline{\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-i(\bar{k}\bar{z} + kz)} q_0(z) \mu_0^+(z, k) dz} \\ &= \overline{\mathbf{t}_0^+(k)}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying (4.1) yields $\overline{\mathbf{t}_0^+(k)} = \mathbf{t}_0^+(k) = \mathbf{t}_0^+(-\bar{k})$, so we have

$$(4.5) \quad \mathbf{t}_0^+(k) = \mathbf{t}_0^-(k).$$

Evolution of scattering data is defined by the same formula for \mathbf{t}_τ^+ and \mathbf{t}_τ^- :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{t}_\tau^+(k) &= e^{i\tau(k^3 + \bar{k}^3)} \mathbf{t}_0^+(k), \\ \mathbf{t}_\tau^-(k) &= e^{i\tau(k^3 + \bar{k}^3)} \mathbf{t}_0^-(k), \end{aligned}$$

so we may use (4.5) to conclude that $\mathbf{t}_\tau^+(k) = \mathbf{t}_\tau^-(k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\tau \geq 0$. In the rest of this section we denote

$$(4.6) \quad \mathbf{t}_\tau(k) := \mathbf{t}_\tau^+(k) = \mathbf{t}_\tau^-(k).$$

Now (4.6) is a remarkable identity: we can write the (integral form) D-bar equations

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_\tau^+(z, k) &= 1 - \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathbf{t}_\tau(k')}{k'(k-k')} e^{-i(k'z+\bar{k}'\bar{z})} \overline{\mu_\tau^+(z, k')} dk', \\ \mu_\tau^-(z, k) &= 1 - \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathbf{t}_\tau(k')}{k'(k-k')} e^{-i(k'\bar{z}+\bar{k}'z)} \overline{\mu_\tau^-(z, k')} dk',\end{aligned}$$

and replacing z by \bar{z} in the latter D-bar equation gives the relation

$$(4.7) \quad \mu_\tau^+(z, k) = \mu_\tau^-(\bar{z}, k),$$

which, substituted into (2.13), yields the identity

$$(4.8) \quad (\mathcal{Q}^+ \mathbf{t}_\tau)(z) = (\mathcal{Q}^- \mathbf{t}_\tau)(\bar{z})$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

Our goal is to derive an equation connecting $(\mathcal{Q}^+ \mathbf{t}_\tau)(z)$ and $(\mathcal{Q}^+ \mathbf{t}_\tau)(\bar{z})$. For this we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\mu_\tau^+(z, -\bar{k})} &= 1 - \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathbf{t}_\tau(k')}{k'(-\bar{k}-k')} e^{-i(k'z+\bar{k}'\bar{z})} \overline{\mu_\tau^+(z, k')} dk', \\ &= 1 - \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathbf{t}_0(k') e^{-i\tau((k')^3+(\bar{k}')^3)}}{k'(-k-\bar{k}')} e^{i(k'z+\bar{k}'\bar{z})} \overline{\mu_\tau^+(z, k')} dk', \\ &= 1 - \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathbf{t}_0(-\bar{k}') e^{i\tau((k')^3+(\bar{k}')^3)}}{-\bar{k}'(-k+k')} e^{i((-\bar{k}')z-k'\bar{z})} \overline{\mu_\tau^+(z, -\bar{k}')} dk', \\ &= 1 - \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathbf{t}_\tau(k')}{k'(k-k')} e^{-i((\bar{k}')z+k'\bar{z})} \overline{\mu_\tau^+(z, -\bar{k}')} dk',\end{aligned}$$

so by the uniqueness of solutions to the D-bar equation we have

$$(4.9) \quad \mu_\tau^-(z, k) = \overline{\mu_\tau^+(z, -\bar{k})}.$$

Substituting (4.9) to the definition of \mathcal{Q}^+ and using (4.1) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{(\mathcal{Q}^+ \mathbf{t}_\tau)(z)} &= \frac{-i}{\pi^2} \overline{\left(\bar{\partial}_z \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathbf{t}_\tau(k')}{k'} e^{-i(k'z+\bar{k}'\bar{z})} \overline{\mu_\tau^+(z, k')} dk' \right)} \\ &= \frac{-i}{\pi^2} \partial_z \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathbf{t}_0(k') e^{-i\tau((k')^3+(\bar{k}')^3)}}{k'} e^{i(k'z+\bar{k}'\bar{z})} \overline{\mu_\tau^+(z, k')} dk' \\ &= \frac{-i}{\pi^2} \partial_z \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathbf{t}_0(-\bar{k}') e^{i\tau((k')^3+(\bar{k}')^3)}}{-\bar{k}'} e^{-i(\bar{k}'z+k'\bar{z})} \overline{\mu_\tau^+(z, -\bar{k}')} dk' \\ &= \frac{i}{\pi^2} \partial_z \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathbf{t}_\tau(k')}{\bar{k}'} e^{-i(\bar{k}'z+k'\bar{z})} \overline{\mu_\tau^-(z, k')} dk' \\ (4.10) \quad &= (\mathcal{Q}^- \mathbf{t}_\tau)(z).\end{aligned}$$

Now a combination of (4.10) and (4.8) yields

$$(\mathcal{Q}^+ \mathbf{t}_\tau)(z) = \overline{(\mathcal{Q}^+ \mathbf{t}_\tau)(\bar{z})}.$$

Next we make use of the cubes appearing in the multiplier $\exp(i\tau(k^3 + \bar{k}^3))$ of the evolving scattering data. Define $\varphi = 2\pi/3$ and note that $\exp(\pm i\varphi)^3 = 1$. Denote the rotation of a complex number z by angle φ by $z_\varphi := e^{i\varphi}z$. The scattering data \mathbf{t}_τ has the following three-fold symmetry:

$$(4.11) \quad \mathbf{t}_\tau(k_{\pm\varphi}) = e^{i\tau((k_{\pm\varphi})^3 + \overline{(k_{\pm\varphi})^3})} \mathbf{t}_0(k_{\pm\varphi}) = e^{i\tau(k^3 + \bar{k}^3)} \mathbf{t}_0(k) = \mathbf{t}_\tau(k),$$

where we used the rotational symmetry (4.1). Now we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\tau^+(z_\varphi, k_{-\varphi}) &= 1 - \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathbf{t}_\tau(k')}{\bar{k}'(k_{-\varphi} - k')} e^{-i(k' z_\varphi + \bar{k}' \bar{z}_\varphi)} \overline{\mu_\tau^+(z_\varphi, k')} dk', \\ &= 1 - \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathbf{t}_\tau(k'_{-\varphi})}{\bar{k}'_{-\varphi}(k_{-\varphi} - k'_{-\varphi})} e^{-i(k'_{-\varphi} z_\varphi + \bar{k}'_{-\varphi} \bar{z}_\varphi)} \overline{\mu_\tau^+(z_\varphi, k'_{-\varphi})} dk', \\ &= 1 - \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathbf{t}_\tau(k')}{\bar{k}'(k - k')} e^{-i(k' z + \bar{k}' \bar{z})} \overline{\mu_\tau^+(z_\varphi, k'_{-\varphi})} dk', \end{aligned}$$

which by the uniqueness of the solution to the D-bar equation shows that $\mu_\tau^+(z, k) = \mu_\tau^+(z_\varphi, k_{-\varphi})$. The same argument works with φ replaced by $-\varphi$, so we have the symmetry relation

$$(4.12) \quad \mu_\tau^+(z, k) = \mu_\tau^+(z_{\pm\varphi}, k_{\mp\varphi})$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $k \in \mathbb{C}$. Denote the coordinate transformation of rotation by angle φ by $F_\varphi(z) := z_\varphi = e^{i\varphi}z$. Then in the complex chain rule

$$\bar{\partial}_z(f \circ F_\varphi) = ((\partial_z f) \circ F_\varphi) \cdot \bar{\partial}_z F_\varphi + ((\bar{\partial}_z f) \circ F_\varphi) \cdot \overline{\partial_z F_\varphi}$$

we have $\bar{\partial}_z F_\varphi = \bar{\partial}_z(e^{i\varphi}z) = 0$ and $\partial_z F_\varphi = \partial_z(e^{i\varphi}z) = e^{i\varphi}$, so

$$(4.13) \quad ((\bar{\partial}_z f) \circ F_\varphi) = e^{i\varphi} \cdot \bar{\partial}_z(f \circ F_\varphi).$$

Applying (4.13) to the definition of $\mathcal{Q}^+ \mathbf{t}_\tau$ and using (4.12) and (4.11) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{Q}^+ \mathbf{t}_\tau)(z_\varphi) &= \left(\bar{\partial}_z \left(\frac{i}{\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathbf{t}_\tau(k')}{\bar{k}'} e^{-i(k'z + \bar{k}'\bar{z})} \overline{\mu_\tau^+(z, k')} dk' \right) \right) \circ F_\varphi \\
&= e^{i\varphi} \left(\frac{i}{\pi^2} \bar{\partial}_z \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathbf{t}_\tau(k')}{\bar{k}'} e^{-i(k'z_\varphi + \bar{k}'\bar{z}_\varphi)} \overline{\mu_\tau^+(z_\varphi, k')} dk' \right) \\
&= \frac{ie^{i\varphi}}{\pi^2} \bar{\partial}_z \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathbf{t}_\tau(k')}{\bar{k}'} e^{-i(k'_\varphi z + \bar{k}'_\varphi \bar{z})} \overline{\mu_\tau^+(z, k'_\varphi)} dk' \\
&= \frac{ie^{i\varphi}}{\pi^2} \bar{\partial}_z \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathbf{t}_\tau(k'_{-\varphi})}{\bar{k}'_{-\varphi}} e^{-i(k'z + \bar{k}'\bar{z})} \overline{\mu_\tau^+(z, k')} dk' \\
&= \frac{i}{\pi^2} \bar{\partial}_z \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathbf{t}_\tau(k')}{\bar{k}'} e^{-i(k'z + \bar{k}'\bar{z})} \overline{\mu_\tau^+(z, k')} dk' \\
(4.14) \quad &= (\mathcal{Q}^+ \mathbf{t}_\tau)(z).
\end{aligned}$$

Now the combination of (4.14) and (4.8) tells us that q_τ has two symmetries, three-fold and reflectional:

$$q_\tau(z) = q_\tau(z_\varphi) = q_\tau(z_{-\varphi}), \quad q_\tau(z) = \overline{q_\tau(\bar{z})}.$$

This implies the following for the real part:

$$(4.15) \quad \operatorname{Re} q_\tau(z) = \operatorname{Re} q_\tau(z_\varphi) = \operatorname{Re} q_\tau(z_{-\varphi}), \quad \operatorname{Re} q_\tau(z) = \operatorname{Re} q_\tau(\bar{z}).$$

More importantly, we see that the imaginary part of q_τ satisfies

$$\operatorname{Im} q_\tau(z) = \operatorname{Im} q_\tau(z_\varphi), \quad \operatorname{Im} q_\tau(z) = -\operatorname{Im} q_\tau(\bar{z}),$$

implying that $\operatorname{Im} q_\tau(z) \equiv 0$. □

5. PRESERVATION OF CONDUCTIVITY TYPE

We study the properties of the inverse scattering evolution q_τ . We do not assume the symmetry $q_0(z) = q_0(|z|)$ in this section; instead, we just assume that q_τ stays real-valued for positive times $\tau > 0$.

We start by deriving partial differential equations connecting q_τ with the the solutions of the D-bar equations (2.10) and (2.11).

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\mathbf{t}^\pm : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfy*

$$\frac{\mathbf{t}^\pm(k)}{k} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C}), \quad \frac{\mathbf{t}^\pm(k)}{\bar{k}} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C}).$$

Fix $2 < r < \infty$. For every $z \in \mathbb{R}^2$, let $\mu^\pm(z, k)$ be the unique solutions of equations (2.10) and (2.11) with the asymptotic condition $\mu^\pm(z, \cdot) - 1 \in L^r \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{C})$. Assume that $(\mathcal{Q}^+ \mathbf{t}^+)(z)$ and $(\mathcal{Q}^- \mathbf{t}^-)(z)$, defined by (2.12) and (2.13), are real-valued functions.

Then for any fixed $k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus 0$ we have

$$(5.1) \quad (-\Delta - 4ik\bar{\partial}_z + \mathcal{Q}^+\mathbf{t}^+)\mu^+(\cdot, k) = 0,$$

$$(5.2) \quad (-\Delta - 4ik\partial_z + \mathcal{Q}^-\mathbf{t}^-)\mu^-(\cdot, k) = 0.$$

Proof. The functions $(\mathcal{Q}^\pm\mathbf{t}^\pm)(z)$ are well-defined by [19, Thm 1.2].

We will prove the lemma only for μ^+ , as the proof for μ^- is analogous. Denote the solid Cauchy transform by

$$(5.3) \quad \mathcal{C}\varphi(k) := \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\varphi(k')}{k - k'} dk',$$

where dk' denotes the Lebesgue measure. Note that \mathcal{C} and $\bar{\partial}_k$ are inverses of each other (modulo analytic functions). Further, define a real-linear operator

$$(5.4) \quad T_z\varphi(z, k) := \frac{\mathbf{t}^+(k)}{4\pi k} e_{-z}(k) \overline{\varphi(z, k)}.$$

Nachman [20] proved that the operator $[I - \mathcal{C}T_z] : L^r(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow L^r(\mathbb{C})$ is invertible and that $\mathcal{C}T_z 1 \in L^r(\mathbb{C})$. Now the $\bar{\partial}$ equation can be written in the convenient form

$$(5.5) \quad \mu^+ = 1 + \mathcal{C}T_z\mu^+,$$

and the solution with appropriate asymptotics is given by

$$(5.6) \quad \mu^+ - 1 = [I - \mathcal{C}T_z]^{-1}(\mathcal{C}T_z 1).$$

From the proof of [19, Thm 1.1] we know that the commutator $\bar{\partial}_z(\partial_z + ik)$ with the operator of \mathcal{C} is given by

$$[\bar{\partial}_z(\partial_z + ik), \mathcal{C}]\varphi = \frac{i}{\pi} \bar{\partial}_z \int_{\mathbb{C}} \varphi(z, k') dk',$$

and that the commutator of $\bar{\partial}_z(\partial_z + ik)$ with the operator T_z vanishes:

$$[\bar{\partial}_z(\partial_z + ik), T_z]\varphi(z, k) = 0.$$

Applying the above commutator identities to (5.5) yields

$$(5.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{\partial}_z(\partial_z + ik)\mu^+ &= \bar{\partial}_z(\partial_z + ik)(1 + \mathcal{C}T_z\mu^+) = \bar{\partial}_z(\partial_z + ik)\mathcal{C}T_z\mu^+ \\ &= \mathcal{C}T_z\bar{\partial}_z(\partial_z + ik)\mu^+ + \frac{i}{4\pi^2} \bar{\partial}_z \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathbf{t}^+(k')}{\bar{k}'} e_{-z}(k') \overline{\mu^+(z, k')} dk' \\ &= \mathcal{C}T_z\bar{\partial}_z(\partial_z + ik)\mu^+ + \frac{\mathcal{Q}^+\mathbf{t}^+}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Denote $f = \bar{\partial}_z(\partial_z + ik)\mu^+$. Now $\mathcal{Q}^+\mathbf{t}^+$ is real-valued function of z and does not depend on k , so from (5.7) we get

$$(I - \mathcal{C}T_z)(f - \frac{\mathcal{Q}^+\mathbf{t}^+}{4}) = \mathcal{C}T_z(\frac{\mathcal{Q}^+\mathbf{t}^+}{4}) = \frac{\mathcal{Q}^+\mathbf{t}^+}{4} \mathcal{C}T_z 1.$$

Thus $f - \mathcal{Q}^+ \mathbf{t}^+ / 4 = (\mathcal{Q}^+ \mathbf{t}^+ / 4)(I - CT_z)^{-1} CT_z 1 = (\mathcal{Q}^+ \mathbf{t}^+ / 4)(\mu^+ - 1)$. Finally

$$\bar{\partial}_z(\partial_z + ik)\mu^+ = f = \frac{\mathcal{Q}^+ \mathbf{t}^+}{4}(\mu^+ - 1) + \frac{\mathcal{Q}^+ \mathbf{t}^+}{4} = \frac{\mathcal{Q}^+ \mathbf{t}^+}{4}\mu^+.$$

□

We are ready to prove that the inverse scattering evolution preserves conductivity type if it stays real-valued.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $q_0 \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with $1 < p < 2$ be a real-valued potential with no exceptional points. Assume that the scattering data $\mathcal{T}^\pm q_0 = \mathbf{t}_0^\pm$ are well-defined and satisfy*

$$|\mathbf{t}_0^\pm(k)| \leq C|k|^2 \text{ for small } |k|, \quad \frac{\mathbf{t}_0^\pm(k)}{k} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C}), \quad \frac{\mathbf{t}_0^\pm(k)}{\bar{k}} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C}),$$

and that $q_\tau = \mathcal{Q}^+(e^{i\tau(k^3 + \bar{k}^3)} \mathbf{t}_0^+(k))$ is real-valued.

Then q_τ is of conductivity type in the sense of Definition 1.1 for all $\tau \geq 0$ and does not have exceptional points.

Proof. Set

$$(5.8) \quad \mathbf{t}_\tau^+(k) = e^{i\tau(k^3 + \bar{k}^3)} \mathbf{t}_0^+(k)$$

for all $\tau \geq 0$ and note that $\mathbf{t}_\tau^+(k)/\bar{k} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C})$ since by assumption $\mathbf{t}_0^+(k)/\bar{k} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C})$. Then by [20, Thm 4.1] we know that for any fixed $z \in \mathbb{R}^2$ the $\bar{\partial}$ equation

$$(5.9) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{k}} \mu_\tau^+(z, k) = \frac{\mathbf{t}_\tau^+(k)}{4\pi \bar{k}} e_{-k}^+(z) \overline{\mu_\tau^+(z, k)}$$

is uniquely solvable with the asymptotic condition $\mu_\tau^+(z, \cdot) - 1 \in L^r \cap L^\infty$ for some $r > 2$. Furthermore, [20, Thm 4.1] also implies that $\mu_\tau^+(z, 0) := \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \mu_\tau^+(z, k) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ satisfies

$$(5.10) \quad |\mu_\tau^+(z, 0)| > 0 \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

and there is an $0 < \epsilon < 1$ such that we have the estimate

$$(5.11) \quad \sup_z |\mu_\tau^+(z, 0) - \mu_\tau^+(z, k)| \leq c|k|^\epsilon$$

for k near zero.

Now $q_\tau = \mathcal{Q}^+ \mathbf{t}_\tau^+$ is a well-defined continuous $L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)$ function for all $\tau \geq 0$ by [19]. Furthermore, q_τ is real-valued by assumption, and $\mathbf{t}_\tau^+(k)/k \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C})$ is clear from combining (5.8) with the assumption $\mathbf{t}_0^+(k)/k \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C})$. Thus we can apply Lemma 5.1 to see that μ_τ^+ satisfies

$$(5.12) \quad (-\Delta - 4ik\bar{\partial}_z + q_\tau)\mu_\tau^+(\cdot, k) = 0$$

with any fixed $k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus 0$. Formula (5.11) and equation (5.12) imply in the sense of distributions

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow 0} (\Delta + 4ik\bar{\partial}_z)\mu_\tau^+(z, k) = \Delta\mu_\tau^+(z, 0),$$

so $\Delta\mu_\tau^+(z, 0) = q_\tau(z)\mu_\tau^+(z, 0)$. Using (5.10) we can write

$$(5.13) \quad q_\tau(z) = \frac{\Delta\mu_\tau^+(z, 0)}{\mu_\tau^+(z, 0)}.$$

Next we need to prove that $\mu_\tau^+(z, 0)$ is real-valued. Denote

$$(5.14) \quad \mathbf{t}_\tau^-(k) = e^{i\tau(k^3 + \bar{k}^3)} (\mathcal{T}^- q_0)(k).$$

Then we conclude as above that the D-bar equation

$$(5.15) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{k}} \mu_\tau^-(z, k) = \frac{\mathbf{t}_\tau^-(k)}{4\pi\bar{k}} e_{-\bar{k}}^-(z) \overline{\mu_\tau^-(z, k)},$$

where $e_{-\bar{k}}^-(z) = \exp(i(k\bar{z} + \bar{k}z))$, is uniquely solvable with the asymptotic condition $\mu_\tau^-(z, \cdot) - 1 \in L^r \cap L^\infty$ for some $r > 2$.

The real-valuedness of the initial data q_0 implies by (2.9) the symmetry $\mathbf{t}_0^-(k) = \overline{\mathbf{t}_0^+(-\bar{k})}$. Substituting this to (5.8) and (5.14) yields

$$\overline{\mathbf{t}_\tau^+(-\bar{k})} = \overline{e^{-i\tau(k^3 + \bar{k}^3)} \mathbf{t}_\tau^+(-\bar{k})} = e^{i\tau(k^3 + \bar{k}^3)} \overline{\mathbf{t}_0^+(-\bar{k})} = \mathbf{t}_0^-(k).$$

Calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mu_\tau^+(z, -\bar{k})} &= 1 - \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int \frac{\overline{\mathbf{t}_\tau^+(k')}}{k'(-\bar{k} - k')} e^{i(k'z + \bar{k}'\bar{z})} \mu_\tau^+(z, k') dk' \\ &= 1 - \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int \frac{\mathbf{t}_\tau^+(-\bar{k}')}{(-\bar{k}')(-k + k')} e^{i((-\bar{k}')z - k'\bar{z})} \mu_\tau^+(z, -\bar{k}') dk' \\ &= 1 - \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int \frac{\mathbf{t}_\tau^-(k')}{\bar{k}'(k - k')} e^{-i(\bar{k}'z + k'\bar{z})} \overline{\mu_\tau^+(z, -\bar{k}')} dk', \end{aligned}$$

which, in view of uniqueness of solutions to (5.15), implies that

$$(5.16) \quad \overline{\mu_\tau^+(z, -\bar{k})} = \mu_\tau^-(z, k).$$

By (5.11) we have at the limit $k \rightarrow 0$ the identity

$$(5.17) \quad \overline{\mu_\tau^+(z, 0)} = \mu_\tau^-(z, 0).$$

A computation similar to (4.10) shows that $\mathcal{Q}^+ \mathbf{t}_\tau^+ = \overline{\mathcal{Q}^- \mathbf{t}_\tau^-}$. The assumption on real-valuedness of q_τ then implies $q_\tau = \mathcal{Q}^+ \mathbf{t}_\tau^+ = \overline{\mathcal{Q}^- \mathbf{t}_\tau^-}$, and by Lemma 5.1 the functions μ_τ^\pm satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} (-\Delta - 4ik\bar{\partial}_z + q_\tau)\mu_\tau^+(\cdot, k) &= 0, \\ (-\Delta - 4ik\partial_z + q_\tau)\mu_\tau^-(\cdot, k) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as $k \rightarrow 0$ in each of these equations implies

$$\mu_\tau^+(z, 0) = \mu_\tau^-(z, 0).$$

Combining this with (5.17) implies

$$\overline{\mu_\tau^+(z, 0)} = \mu_\tau^-(z, 0) = \mu_\tau^+(z, 0),$$

so $\mu_\tau^+(z, 0)$ is real-valued.

Finally, by Lemma 3.2 we have $|\nabla \mu_\tau^+(z, 0)| \leq C \langle z \rangle^{-2}$, so $\nabla \mu_\tau^+(z, 0) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for all $1 < p < 2$. Hence q_τ is of conductivity type in the sense of Definition 1.1 with conductivity $\gamma := \mu_\tau^+(z, 0)^2$. By [20, Lemma 1.5] q_τ has no exceptional points. \square

6. EVOLUTION OF SCATTERING DATA

Assume that the initial potential $q_0 \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with $1 < p < 2$ is a real-valued potential with no exceptional points. Further, assume that the initial scattering data $\mathcal{T}^\pm q_0 = \mathbf{t}_0^\pm$ satisfies

$$(6.1) \quad |\mathbf{t}_0^\pm(k)| \leq C|k|^2 \text{ for small } |k|, \quad \frac{\mathbf{t}_0^\pm(k)}{k} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C}), \quad \frac{\mathbf{t}_0^\pm(k)}{\bar{k}} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C}),$$

and leads to a real-valued evolution

$$q_\tau(z) = \mathcal{Q}^+(e^{i\tau(k^3 + \bar{k}^3)} \mathbf{t}_0^+(k)) = \mathcal{Q}^+ \mathbf{t}_\tau^+.$$

The aim of this section is to prove that the scattering data of q_τ evolves as expected; more precisely, that $\mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{Q}^+ \mathbf{t}_\tau^+) = \mathbf{t}_\tau^+$.

We remark that we do not assume the symmetry $q_0(z) = q_0(|z|)$ in this section.

The function $\mathcal{Q}^+ \mathbf{t}_\tau^+$ is constructed as explained in Section 2.2 using the unique solutions of the D-bar equation

$$(6.2) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{k}} \mu_\tau^+(z, k) = \frac{\mathbf{t}_\tau^+(k)}{4\pi \bar{k}} e^{-i(kz + \bar{k}\bar{z})} \overline{\mu_\tau^+(z, \bar{k})},$$

with large $|k|$ asymptotics $\mu_\tau^+(z, \cdot) - 1 \in L^\infty \cap L^r(\mathbb{C})$ for some $2 < r < \infty$. By [19] we know that $q_\tau = \mathcal{Q}^+ \mathbf{t}_\tau^+ : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a well-defined continuous $L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)$ function with any $1 < p < 2$ and for all $\tau > 0$.

We wish to apply the nonlinear Fourier transform \mathcal{T}^+ to the function q_τ . By Theorem 5.1 we know that q_τ is of conductivity type:

$$(6.3) \quad q_\tau(z) = \frac{\Delta \mu_\tau^+(z, 0)}{\mu_\tau^+(z, 0)},$$

and does not have exceptional points. Thus there exists for any $k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus 0$ a unique solution of the partial differential equation

$$(6.4) \quad (-\Delta - 4ik\bar{\partial}_z + q_\tau(z))\mu_\tau^+(z, k) = 0$$

with large $|z|$ asymptotics $\mu_\tau^+(\cdot, k) - 1 \in W^{1, \bar{p}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

If we had additional decay in $q_\tau(z)$ as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$, then we could construct $\mathcal{T}^+ q_\tau$ by the integral

$$(6.5) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{i(kz + \bar{k}\bar{z})} q_\tau(z) \mu_\tau^+(z, k) dz,$$

which would be absolutely convergent. Furthermore, we could make use of [20, Theorem 2.1], stating that the D-bar derivative $(\partial/\partial\bar{k}) \mu_\tau^+(z, k)$ is equal to

$$(6.6) \quad \frac{(\mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{Q}^+ \mathbf{t}_\tau^+))(k)}{4\pi\bar{k}} e^{-i(kz + \bar{k}\bar{z})} \overline{\mu_\tau^+(z, k)}.$$

Furthermore, in view of Lemma 5.1, the unique solutions of equations (6.2) and (6.4) are the same functions. Therefore, comparing (6.2) and (6.6) would yield the desired identity $\mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{Q}^+ \mathbf{t}_\tau^+) = \mathbf{t}_\tau^+$.

However, we do not have available any extra decay in $q_\tau(z)$ as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$; we just know that $|q_\tau(z)| \leq C\langle z \rangle^{-2}$. Therefore, at this point it is even unclear whether formula $\mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{Q}^+ \mathbf{t}_\tau^+)$ is well-defined.

To analyse $\mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{Q}^+ \mathbf{t}_\tau^+)$, we add and subtract the constant 1 in (6.5), write

$$(6.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}^+ q_\tau &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{i(kz + \bar{k}\bar{z})} q_\tau(z) (\mu_\tau^+(z, k) - 1) dz + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{i(kz + \bar{k}\bar{z})} q_\tau(z) dz \\ &= T_1(k) + T_2(k), \end{aligned}$$

and interpret T_1 and T_2 as follows.

For fixed $k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus 0$ the term $T_1(k)$ in (6.7) is bounded in absolute value by the Hölder inequality because $\mu_\tau^+(\cdot, k) - 1 \in L^{\tilde{p}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $q_\tau \in L^{\tilde{p}'}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Note that $1 < \tilde{p}' < 2$ since $2 < \tilde{p} < \infty$. Furthermore, the norm $\|\mu_\tau^+(\cdot, k) - 1\|_{L^{\tilde{p}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}$ depends continuously on k . This can be seen as follows. The unique solution of the partial differential equation (6.4) with appropriate asymptotics is given by

$$(6.8) \quad \mu_\tau^+(z, k) - 1 = [I + g_k * (q_\tau \cdot)]^{-1} (g_k * q_\tau),$$

as shown in [20, p.82]. Note that we have the estimates

$$(6.9) \quad \|g_k * q\|_{W^{1, \tilde{p}}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq C_k \|q\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)},$$

$$(6.10) \quad \|g_k * (q \cdot)\|_{L(W^{1, \tilde{p}}(\mathbb{R}^2))} \leq C'_k \|q\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)}.$$

By [20, formula (1.6)] we have for any $h \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)$

$$g_k * h = -\frac{1}{4ik} [\bar{\partial}_z^{-1} - (\partial_z + ik)^{-1} \partial \bar{\partial}^{-1}] h,$$

and by [20, formula (1.2)] we know that $\|(\partial_z + ik)^{-1} h\|_{L^{\tilde{p}}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq c \|h\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)}$ with c independent of k . Hence the constants C_k and C'_k in (6.9) and (6.10) and the norm $\|\mu_\tau^+(\cdot, k) - 1\|_{L^{\tilde{p}}(\mathbb{R}^2)}$ depend continuously on k . Thus $T_1 \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{C} \setminus 0)$.

The second term $T_2(k)$ in (6.7) can be interpreted as the Fourier transform of an $L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)$ function, the result belonging to $L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ by the Riesz-Thorin interpolation theorem. Here p' is defined by $1 = 1/p + 1/p'$. Therefore $T_2 \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{C} \setminus 0)$.

We can conclude from (6.7) that $\mathcal{T}^+q_\tau \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{C} \setminus 0)$, and consequently it can be interpreted as a distribution: $\mathcal{T}^+q_\tau \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{C} \setminus 0)$.

Having established the existence of $\mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{Q}^+\mathbf{t}_\tau^+)$ in the sense of distributions, we proceed to show that it equals \mathbf{t}_τ^+ . As mentioned above, we cannot apply [20, Theorem 2.1] to show that $(\partial/\partial\bar{k})\mu_\tau^+(z, k)$ equals (6.6) because there is not enough decay in $q_\tau(z)$ available as $z \rightarrow \infty$. We overcome this problem by generalizing [20, Theorem 2.1]. The crucial new technique is to approximate $q_\tau = \mu_\tau^+(z, 0)^{-1}\Delta\mu_\tau^+(z, 0)$ with a rapidly decaying conductivity-type potential in the norm of $L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)$ space so that the function $\mu_\tau^+(z, 0)$ is approximated simultaneously.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $q : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a continuous, real-valued, conductivity type potential of the form $q(z) = \mu(z)^{-1}\Delta\mu(z)$. Assume that these estimates hold for all $z \in \mathbb{R}^2$:*

$$(6.11) \quad |q(z)| \leq C\langle z \rangle^{-2},$$

$$(6.12) \quad |\mu(z)| \geq c > 0,$$

$$(6.13) \quad |\mu(z) - 1| \leq C\langle z \rangle^{-1},$$

$$(6.14) \quad |\nabla\mu(z)| \leq C\langle z \rangle^{-2}.$$

Set $\varphi(z) = \exp(-|z|^2)$ and $\varphi_\varepsilon(z) = \varphi(\varepsilon z)$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$. Define an approximation to μ by

$$(6.15) \quad \mu^{(\varepsilon)}(z) := 1 + \varphi_\varepsilon(z)(\mu(z) - 1),$$

and an approximation to q by

$$(6.16) \quad q^{(\varepsilon)}(z) := \frac{\Delta\mu^{(\varepsilon)}(z)}{\mu^{(\varepsilon)}(z)}.$$

Then for any exponent $1 < p < 2$ we have

$$(6.17) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|q^{(\varepsilon)} - q\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)} = 0.$$

The proof of Lemma 6.1 is postponed to Appendix B. We are ready to prove the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $q_0 \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with $1 < p < 2$ be a real-valued potential with no exceptional points. Assume that the scattering data $\mathcal{T}^\pm q_0 = \mathbf{t}_0^\pm$ satisfies*

$$|\mathbf{t}_0^\pm(k)| \leq C|k|^2 \text{ for small } |k|, \quad \frac{\mathbf{t}_0^\pm(k)}{k} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C}), \quad \frac{\mathbf{t}_0^\pm(k)}{\bar{k}} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C}).$$

Assume that $q_\tau = \mathcal{Q}^+\mathbf{t}_\tau^+ = \mathcal{Q}^+(e^{i\tau(k^3 + \bar{k}^3)}\mathbf{t}_0^+(k))$ is real-valued.

Then $(\mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{Q}^+\mathbf{t}_\tau^+))(k) = \mathbf{t}_\tau^+(k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus 0$.

Proof. By [19, Theorem 1.2] we know that $q_\tau : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a continuous function and satisfies $|q_\tau(z)| \leq C\langle z \rangle^{-2}$. Thus q_τ belongs to $L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for any $1 < p < 2$. By Theorem 5.1 and [20, Lemma 1.5] we may conclude that q_τ does not have exceptional points and we can write $q_\tau(z) = (\Delta\mu_\tau^+(z, 0))/\mu_\tau^+(z, 0)$.

Define for all $\varepsilon > 0$ the approximate potential

$$(6.18) \quad q_\tau^{(\varepsilon)}(z) := \frac{\Delta \mu_\tau^{(\varepsilon)}(z, 0)}{\mu_\tau^{(\varepsilon)}(z, 0)},$$

where the function $\mu_\tau^{(\varepsilon)}$ is given by

$$(6.19) \quad \mu_\tau^{(\varepsilon)}(z, 0) := 1 + \varphi_\varepsilon(z)(\mu_\tau^+(z, 0) - 1).$$

Now the approximate potential $q_\tau^{(\varepsilon)}$ decays exponentially when $|z| \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, since $\mu_\tau^+(z, 0)$ is real-valued, the function $q_\tau^{(\varepsilon)}(z)$ satisfies the assumptions of [20, Theorem 1.1]. There are no exceptional points for $q_\tau^{(\varepsilon)}(z)$ with any $\varepsilon > 0$, and we can define for all $k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus 0$

$$(6.20) \quad \mu_\tau^{(\varepsilon)}(z, k) - 1 = [I + g_k * (q_\tau^{(\varepsilon)} \cdot)]^{-1} (g_k * q_\tau^{(\varepsilon)}).$$

Furthermore, by [20, Theorem 2.1] the functions $\mu_\tau^{(\varepsilon)}(z, k)$ satisfy the $\bar{\partial}$ -equation

$$(6.21) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{k}} \mu_\tau^{(\varepsilon)}(z, k) = \frac{(\mathcal{T}^+(q_\tau^{(\varepsilon)}))(k)}{4\pi \bar{k}} e_{-z}^+(k) \overline{\mu_\tau^{(\varepsilon)}(z, k)}$$

with the asymptotic condition $\mu_\tau^{(\varepsilon)}(z, \cdot) - 1 \in L^r \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{C})$.

How are the functions $\mu_\tau^{(\varepsilon)}(z, k)$ related to the solutions $\mu_\tau^+(z, k)$? It is clear from (6.19) that $\mu_\tau^{(\varepsilon)}(z, 0)$ tends to $\mu_\tau^+(z, 0)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, but how about nonzero k ? Subtracting (6.20) from (6.8) and using the resolvent equation

$$\begin{aligned} & [I + g_k * (q_\tau \cdot)]^{-1} - [I + g_k * (q_\tau^{(\varepsilon)} \cdot)]^{-1} \\ &= -[I + g_k * (q_\tau \cdot)]^{-1} [g_k * ((q_\tau - q_\tau^{(\varepsilon)}) \cdot)] [I + g_k * (q_\tau^{(\varepsilon)} \cdot)]^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

together with (6.9) and (6.10) yield

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mu_\tau^+(z, k) - \mu_\tau^{(\varepsilon)}(z, k)\|_{W^{1, \bar{p}}} \\ &= \|[I + g_k * (q_\tau \cdot)]^{-1} (g_k * q_\tau) - [I + g_k * (q_\tau^{(\varepsilon)} \cdot)]^{-1} (g_k * q_\tau^{(\varepsilon)})\|_{W^{1, \bar{p}}} \\ &\leq \|[I + g_k * (q_\tau \cdot)]^{-1} (g_k * (q_\tau - q_\tau^{(\varepsilon)}))\|_{W^{1, \bar{p}}} \\ &\quad + \|([I + g_k * (q_\tau \cdot)]^{-1} - [I + g_k * (q_\tau^{(\varepsilon)} \cdot)]^{-1}) (g_k * q_\tau^{(\varepsilon)})\|_{W^{1, \bar{p}}} \\ &\leq \|[I + g_k * (q_\tau \cdot)]^{-1}\|_{L(W^{1, \bar{p}})} \|g_k * (q_\tau - q_\tau^{(\varepsilon)})\|_{W^{1, \bar{p}}} \\ &\quad + \|([I + g_k * (q_\tau \cdot)]^{-1} [g_k * ((q_\tau - q_\tau^{(\varepsilon)}) \cdot)] [I + g_k * (q_\tau^{(\varepsilon)} \cdot)]^{-1}) (g_k * q_\tau^{(\varepsilon)})\|_{W^{1, \bar{p}}} \\ &\leq C'_k \|g_k * (q_\tau - q_\tau^{(\varepsilon)})\|_{W^{1, \bar{p}}} \\ &\quad + C''_k \|[I + g_k * (q_\tau^{(\varepsilon)} \cdot)]^{-1}\|_{L(W^{1, \bar{p}})} \|g_k * ((q_\tau - q_\tau^{(\varepsilon)}) \cdot)\|_{L(W^{1, \bar{p}})} \|g_k * q_\tau^{(\varepsilon)}\|_{W^{1, \bar{p}}} \\ &\leq C'''_k \left(1 + \|[I + g_k * (q_\tau^{(\varepsilon)} \cdot)]^{-1}\|_{L(W^{1, \bar{p}})} \|g_k * q_\tau^{(\varepsilon)}\|_{W^{1, \bar{p}}} \right) \|q_\tau - q_\tau^{(\varepsilon)}\|_{L^p}. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 6.1 we know that

$$(6.22) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|q_\tau^{(\varepsilon)} - q_\tau\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)} = 0.$$

Therefore, recalling that the constants C_k and C'_k in (6.9) and (6.10) depend continuously on k , we can conclude that

$$(6.23) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|\mu_\tau^+(\cdot, k) - \mu_\tau^{(\varepsilon)}(\cdot, k)\|_{W^{1, \bar{p}}(\mathbb{R}^2)} = 0,$$

where the convergence is uniform for $k \in K \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus 0$ with any compact K . We remark that since $W^{1, \bar{p}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ functions are continuous by the Sobolev imbedding theorem, equation (6.23) implies uniform point-wise convergence as well.

Now we can use (6.7) and (6.23) to calculate

$$(6.24) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{T}^+(q_\tau^{(\varepsilon)}) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{i(kz + \bar{k}\bar{z})} q_\tau^{(\varepsilon)}(z) \mu_\tau^{(\varepsilon)}(z, k) dz \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{i(kz + \bar{k}\bar{z})} q_\tau^{(\varepsilon)}(z) (\mu_\tau^{(\varepsilon)}(z, k) - 1) dz \\ &\quad + \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{i(kz + \bar{k}\bar{z})} q_\tau^{(\varepsilon)}(z) dz \\ &=: \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} T_1^{(\varepsilon)}(k) + \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} T_2^{(\varepsilon)}(k) \\ &= T_1(k) + T_2(k) \\ &= \mathcal{T}^+(q_\tau) \\ &= \mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{Q}^+ \mathbf{t}_\tau^+), \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} T_1^{(\varepsilon)} = T_1$ in the topology of $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{C} \setminus 0)$ because of (6.23) and $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} q_\tau^{(\varepsilon)} = q_\tau$ in $L^{\bar{p}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ by Lemma 6.1. Also, $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} T_2^{(\varepsilon)} = T_2$ in the topology of $L^{\bar{p}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ because $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} q_\tau^{(\varepsilon)} = q_\tau$ in $L^{\bar{p}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ by Lemma 6.1. Thus the convergence in (6.24) happens in particular in $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{C} \setminus 0)$, and consequently in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{C} \setminus 0)$.

We know by construction that the unique solutions μ_τ^\pm satisfy

$$(6.25) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{k}} \mu_\tau^+(z, k) = \frac{\mathbf{t}_\tau^+(k)}{4\pi \bar{k}} e^{-i(kz + \bar{k}\bar{z})} \overline{\mu_\tau^+(z, k)},$$

The above analysis shows that equation (6.21) converges in the sense of distributions $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{C} \setminus 0)$ to

$$(6.26) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{k}} \mu_\tau^+(z, k) = \frac{(\mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{Q}^+ \mathbf{t}_\tau^+))(k)}{4\pi \bar{k}} e^{-i(kz + \bar{k}\bar{z})} \overline{\mu_\tau^+(z, k)}$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Thus right hand sides of the $\bar{\partial}$ equations (6.25) and (6.26) must be the same elements of $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{C} \setminus 0)$:

$$\frac{(\mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{Q}^+ \mathbf{t}_\tau^+))(k)}{4\pi \bar{k}} e^{-i(kz + \bar{k}\bar{z})} \overline{\mu_\tau^+(z, k)} = \frac{e^{i\tau(k^3 + \bar{k}^3)} \mathbf{t}_0^+(k)}{4\pi \bar{k}} e^{-i(kz + \bar{k}\bar{z})} \overline{\mu_\tau^+(z, k)}.$$

Since $e^{-i(kz + \bar{k}\bar{z})}$ never vanishes, we get for $k \neq 0$

$$(\mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{Q}^+ \mathbf{t}_\tau^+))(k) \overline{\mu_\tau^+(z, k)} = \mathbf{t}_\tau^+(k) \overline{\mu_\tau^+(z, k)}.$$

Now the function $\mu_\tau^+(\cdot, k)$ cannot be identically zero since $\mu_\tau^+(\cdot, k) - 1 \in W^{1, \bar{p}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Furthermore, \mathbf{t}_τ^+ is a smooth function and $\mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{Q}^+\mathbf{t}_\tau^+) \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{C})$. We may conclude that $(\mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{Q}^+\mathbf{t}_\tau^+))(k) = \mathbf{t}_\tau^+(k)$ for all $k \neq 0$. \square

7. CONCLUSION

The following Corollary is the main result of this paper.

Corollary 7.1. *Let $q_0 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ be a real-valued, smooth, compactly supported conductivity-type potential (in the sense of Definition 1.1) with $\gamma \equiv 1$ outside $\text{supp}(q_0)$. Assume the rotational symmetry $q_0(z) = q_0(|z|)$. Denote $\mathbf{t}_\tau^+(k) = e^{i\tau(k^3 + \bar{k}^3)}(\mathcal{T}^+q_0)(k)$. Then $q_\tau := \mathcal{Q}^+\mathbf{t}_\tau^+$ is for all $\tau > 0$ a real-valued, continuous, conductivity-type potential in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with any $1 < p < 2$ satisfying the following estimate: $|q_\tau(z)| \leq C\langle z \rangle^{-2}$. Moreover, q_τ has no exceptional points and $(\mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{Q}^+\mathbf{t}_\tau^+))(k) = \mathbf{t}_\tau^+(k)$ for all $k \neq 0$.*

Proof. We know from [24, Thm 3.1] and [19, Thms 2.1 and 2.2] that

$$|\mathbf{t}_0^\pm(k)| \leq C|k|^2 \text{ for small } |k|, \quad \frac{\mathbf{t}_0^\pm(k)}{k} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C}), \quad \frac{\mathbf{t}_0^\pm(k)}{\bar{k}} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C}).$$

The evolving potential is a well-defined continuous function $q_\tau : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $|q_\tau(z)| \leq C\langle z \rangle^{-2}$ by [19, Thm 1.2].

The analysis in Section 4 above shows that q_τ is real-valued. Then the assumptions of Lemma 5.1 and Theorem 5.1 are satisfied and we may conclude that q_τ is of conductivity type in the sense of Definition 1.1 for all $\tau \geq 0$ and that q_τ has no exceptional points. Furthermore, the assumptions of Theorem 6.1 are fulfilled, and so $(\mathcal{T}^+(\mathcal{Q}^+\mathbf{t}_\tau^+))(k) = \mathbf{t}_\tau^+(k)$ for all $k \neq 0$. \square

Our results do in fact apply to more general initial data than the rotationally symmetric cases of Corollary 7.1. Namely, Sections 5 and 6 only assume that q_0 has nicely behaving scattering data and that the inverse scattering evolution stays real-valued. (The latter assumption is natural: the right-hand side of the Novikov-Veselov equation (1.1) is real-valued, so real-valuedness of q_0 implies that of q_τ^{NV} as well. If q_τ had nonzero imaginary part, the identity $q_\tau^{\text{NV}} = q_\tau$ could not hold.)

Actually, we can already describe a class of nonsymmetric initial data for the inverse scattering evolution. Let q_τ be an evolution with rotationally symmetric initial data satisfying the assumptions of Corollary 7.1, and fix $\tau' > 0$. Define

$\tilde{q}_0(z) := q_{\tau'}(z)$ and note that the following diagram is well-defined:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathbf{t}_0^+(k) & \xrightarrow{\exp(i\tau'(k^3 + \bar{k}^3))} & \mathbf{t}_{\tau'}^+(k) & \xrightarrow{\exp(i\tau(k^3 + \bar{k}^3))} & \mathbf{t}_{\tau'+\tau}^+(k) \\
 \mathcal{T}^+ \uparrow & & \mathcal{T}^+ \updownarrow \mathcal{Q}^+ & & \mathcal{T}^+ \updownarrow \mathcal{Q}^+ \\
 q_0(z) & & q_{\tau'}(z) & & q_{\tau'+\tau}(z) \\
 & & \parallel & & \parallel \\
 & & \tilde{q}_0(z) & & \tilde{q}_\tau(z)
 \end{array}$$

Now $\tilde{q}_0(z)$ is valid initial data (if we substitute $\mathcal{T}^+(\tilde{q}_0(z))(0) := \mathcal{T}^+(q_{\tau'}(z))(0) = 0$ making the initial scattering data smooth) and leads to a real-valued inverse scattering evolution \tilde{q}_τ . But what do we know about symmetries of \tilde{q}_τ ? The analysis in Section 4 implies that

$$\tilde{q}_\tau(z) = \tilde{q}_\tau(z_\varphi) = \tilde{q}_\tau(z_{-\varphi}), \quad \tilde{q}_\tau(z) = \overline{\tilde{q}_\tau(\bar{z})}$$

for $\varphi = 2\pi/3$. But our theoretical results do not rule out the possibility of rotational symmetry of \tilde{q}_τ . However, in Part II of this paper we compute $q_\tau(z)$ numerically for several rotationally symmetric initial data and observe that for $\tau' > 0$ we have in general $q_{\tau'}(z) \neq q_{\tau'}(|z|)$; this is verified numerically beyond doubt. (In addition, q_τ appears not to be compactly supported, but the numerical evidence is non-conclusive due to the finite computational domain.)

Finally, we mention that the numerical evidence presented in Part II of this paper strongly suggests that $q_\tau^{\text{NV}} = q_\tau$ for evolutions with initial data satisfying the assumptions of Corollary 7.1.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The work of ML and SS was supported by the Academy of Finland (Finnish Centre of Excellence in Inverse Problems Research 2006-2011, decision number 213476). In addition, SS was funded in part by the Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Fellows (No. 0002757) of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science. This project was partially conducted at the Mathematical Sciences Research Institute, Berkeley, whose hospitality is gratefully acknowledged.

APPENDIX A. CONNECTIONS TO PREVIOUS WORK

A.1. Remarks on the results of Boiti, Leon, Manna and Pempinelli. It was shown in [8] that if a function $\mathbf{p}(z, \tau)$ does not have exceptional points and evolves according to the evolution equation

$$(A.1) \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{p}}{\partial \tau} = -a_0 \partial_z^3 \mathbf{p} - a_0 \bar{\partial}_z^3 \mathbf{p} + 3a_0 \partial_z (\mathbf{p} \partial_z \bar{\partial}_z^{-1} \mathbf{p}) + 3a_0 \bar{\partial}_z (\mathbf{p} \bar{\partial}_z \partial_z^{-1} \mathbf{p}),$$

where $a_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ is a constant, then the scattering data

$$(A.2) \quad F_1(k) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{i\bar{k}x} \mathbf{p}(z, \tau) \phi(z, k) dx$$

evolves in τ as follows:

$$(A.3) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} F_1(k) = i(a_0 k^3 + \bar{a}_0 \bar{k}^3) F_1(k).$$

The function $\phi(z, k)$ appearing in (A.2) is a solution of the Schrödinger equation

$$(A.4) \quad (\partial_z \bar{\partial}_z - \mathbf{p}) \phi(\cdot, k) = 0, \quad \phi(z, k) \sim e^{ikz}.$$

Formula (A.1) corresponds to [8, formula (2.12)], formula (A.2) corresponds to [8, formula (3.26)], formula (A.3) corresponds to [8, formula (5.7)], and formula (A.4) corresponds to [8, formulae (2.3), (3.1), (3.4)].

Set $q_\tau(z) = \mathbf{p}(z/2, \tau)$. Then equation (A.1) takes the form

$$(A.5) \quad \frac{\partial q_\tau}{\partial \tau} = -8a_0 \partial_z^3 q_\tau - 8a_0 \bar{\partial}_z^3 q_\tau + 6a_0 \partial_z (q_\tau \partial_z \bar{\partial}_z^{-1} q_\tau) + 6a_0 \bar{\partial}_z (q_\tau \bar{\partial}_z \partial_z^{-1} q_\tau).$$

Further, noting that $\partial_z \bar{\partial}_z = \frac{1}{4} \Delta$ and comparing (2.1) and (2.2) with (A.4) shows that

$$(A.6) \quad \phi(z, k) = \psi^+(2z, k/2).$$

Thus we can use (A.6) and (A.2) to compute

$$(A.7) \quad \begin{aligned} F_1(k) &= \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{i\bar{k}(z/2)} \mathbf{p}(z/2, \tau) \phi(z/2, k) dz \\ &= \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{i\bar{k}(z/2)\bar{z}} q_\tau(z) \psi^+(z, k/2) dz \\ &= \frac{1}{8\pi} \mathbf{t}_\tau^+(k/2). \end{aligned}$$

A combination of (A.3) and (A.7) then yields

$$(A.8) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \mathbf{t}_\tau^+(k) = i(8a_0 k^3 + 8\bar{a}_0 \bar{k}^3) \mathbf{t}_\tau^+(k).$$

The desired evolution of scattering data is achieved by the choice $a_0 = 1/8$. Then equation (A.5) takes exactly the form (1.1).

A.2. Remarks on the results of Tsai. It would be tempting to follow Tsai's proof in [27] to show that the inverse scattering evolution q_τ actually coincides with q_τ^{NV} in diagram (1.2). However, the class of initial data used in [27] excludes conductivity-type potentials, which in turn are the only known initial data with no exceptional points. The specific problem with Tsai's proof is the requirement $m_+(x, 0) = 0$ on the line following equation (3.12) in [27]. In our notation this would mean $\mu^+(z, 0) = 0$ which never holds for conductivity-type potentials because

$\mu^+(z, 0) = \sqrt{\gamma(z)} \geq c > 0$. Finding such assumptions on q_0 that $q_\tau = q_\tau^{\text{NV}}$ in diagram (1.2) remains an open theoretical problem.

Tsai gives in [25, 27] a formal derivation of a hierarchy of evolution equations (parametrized by $n = 1, 3, 5, \dots$) using the maps $\mathcal{T}^+ : q \mapsto \mathbf{t}^+$ and \mathcal{Q}^+ in the following inverse scattering scheme:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{t}_0^+ & \xrightarrow{\exp(-i^n(k^n + \bar{k}^n)\tau)} & \mathbf{t}_\tau^+ \\ \mathcal{T}^+ \uparrow & & \downarrow \mathcal{Q}^+ \\ q_0 & \longrightarrow & q_\tau \end{array}$$

The non-periodic version of the Novikov-Veselov equation (1.1) appears as the case $n = 3$. We remark that all the results in this paper hold for the cases $n > 3$ as well. We just need to replace the angle $\varphi = 2\pi/3$ by $\varphi = 2\pi/n$ in Section 4.

APPENDIX B. PROOF OF LEMMA 6.1

Clearly $q \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with any $1 < p < 2$. Note that assumption (6.12) and formula (6.15) imply (for the same constant c , independent of ε)

$$(B.1) \quad 0 < c \leq |\mu^{(\varepsilon)}(z)|,$$

so there is no division by zero in the definition (6.16). Write

$$q^{(\varepsilon)}(z) - q(z) = \Delta\mu(z) \left(\frac{1}{\mu^{(\varepsilon)}(z)} - \frac{1}{\mu(z)} \right) - \left(\Delta\mu(z) - \Delta\mu^{(\varepsilon)}(z) \right) \frac{1}{\mu^{(\varepsilon)}(z)}.$$

Then (6.17) follows from the triangle inequality and (B.1) if we prove the following two equations:

$$(B.2) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left\| \Delta\mu \left(\frac{1}{\mu^{(\varepsilon)}} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right) \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)} = 0,$$

$$(B.3) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|\Delta\mu - \Delta\mu^{(\varepsilon)}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)} = 0.$$

Let us prove (B.3) first. Calculate

$$(B.4) \quad \Delta\mu^{(\varepsilon)} = (\mu - 1)\Delta\varphi_\varepsilon + 2\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla\mu + \varphi_\varepsilon\Delta\mu,$$

and further, with the notation $(x, y) = z = x + iy$,

$$(B.5) \quad \frac{\partial\varphi_\varepsilon(z)}{\partial x} = -2\varepsilon^2 x\varphi_\varepsilon(z),$$

$$(B.6) \quad \frac{\partial\varphi_\varepsilon(z)}{\partial y} = -2\varepsilon^2 y\varphi_\varepsilon(z),$$

$$(B.7) \quad \Delta\varphi_\varepsilon(z) = 4\varepsilon^2(\varepsilon^2 x^2 + \varepsilon^2 y^2 - 1)\varphi_\varepsilon(z).$$

Direct computation shows that for any $s \geq 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\| |z|^s \varphi_\varepsilon(z) \|_p &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |z|^{ps} \varphi(\varepsilon z)^p dz \right)^{1/p} \\
&= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |w|^{ps} \varepsilon^{-ps} \varphi(w)^p \frac{dw}{\varepsilon^2} \right)^{1/p} \\
\text{(B.8)} \quad &= \varepsilon^{-s-2/p} \| |w|^s \varphi(w) \|_p.
\end{aligned}$$

Here and below we use the shorthand notation $\| \cdot \|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)} = \| \cdot \|_p$.

By (B.4) we see that

$$\text{(B.9)} \quad \Delta\mu - \Delta\mu^{(\varepsilon)} = -2\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla\mu - (\mu - 1)\Delta\varphi_\varepsilon + (1 - \varphi_\varepsilon)\Delta\mu.$$

Using (B.5), (B.8) and assumption (6.14) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \frac{\partial\varphi_\varepsilon}{\partial x} \frac{\partial\mu}{\partial x} \right\|_p &= 2\varepsilon^2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \frac{\partial\mu(z)}{\partial x} \right|^p |x|^p \varphi_\varepsilon(z)^p dz \right)^{1/p} \\
&\leq 2\varepsilon^2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \langle z \rangle^{-2p} |z|^p \varphi_\varepsilon(z)^p dz \right)^{1/p} \\
&\leq 2C\varepsilon^2 \|\varphi_\varepsilon\|_p \leq 2C\varepsilon^{2-2/p} \|\varphi\|_p,
\end{aligned}$$

and the same estimate holds for x replaced by y . Therefore, since $p > 1$ implies $2 - 2/p > 0$, we have proved the convergence

$$\text{(B.10)} \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla\mu\|_p = 0.$$

Using (6.13), (B.7) and (B.8) with $s = 0$ and $s = 1$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(\mu - 1)\Delta\varphi_\varepsilon\|_p &= 4\varepsilon^2 \|(\mu - 1)(\varepsilon^2 x^2 + \varepsilon^2 y^2 - 1)\varphi_\varepsilon\|_p \\
&\leq 4\varepsilon^2 \|(\mu - 1)\varepsilon^2 |z|^2 \varphi_\varepsilon\|_p + 4\varepsilon^2 \|(\mu - 1)\varphi_\varepsilon\|_p \\
&\leq C\varepsilon^4 \| |z| \varphi_\varepsilon(z) \|_p + 4\varepsilon^2 \|\mu - 1\|_\infty \|\varphi_\varepsilon\|_p \\
&\leq C\varepsilon^{3-2/p} \|\varphi\|_p + C\varepsilon^{2-2/p} \|\varphi\|_p,
\end{aligned}$$

and it follows from $2/p < 2$ that

$$\text{(B.11)} \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|(\mu - 1)\Delta\varphi_\varepsilon\|_p = 0.$$

We denote the characteristic function of the disc $B(0, \varepsilon^{-1/4})$ by $\chi_{|z| < \varepsilon^{-1/4}}(z)$ and will use the inequality

$$\text{(B.12)} \quad \|f\|_p \leq \|\chi_{|z| < \varepsilon^{-1/4}} f\|_p + \|\chi_{|z| \geq \varepsilon^{-1/4}} f\|_p$$

in the sequel. Note that $1 - \varphi_\varepsilon(z) = 1 - \varphi_\varepsilon(|z|)$ is monotonically increasing in $|z|$ and that the area of the disc $B(0, \varepsilon^{-1/4})$ is $\pi\varepsilon^{-1/2}$ and that by assumption (6.11) we

have

$$(B.13) \quad |\Delta\mu(z)| \leq \|\mu\|_\infty \left| \frac{\Delta\mu}{\mu} \right| = \|\mu\|_\infty |q(z)| \leq C \langle z \rangle^{-2}.$$

Estimate using Taylor expansion of the exponential function near $\varepsilon = 0$

$$(B.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\chi_{|z| < \varepsilon^{-1/4}} (1 - \varphi_\varepsilon) \Delta\mu\|_p^p &\leq \pi \varepsilon^{-1/2} \|(\Delta\mu)^p\|_\infty \|\chi_{|z| < \varepsilon^{-1/4}} |1 - \varphi_\varepsilon|^p\|_\infty \\ &\leq C \varepsilon^{-1/2} |1 - \exp(-\varepsilon^2 \varepsilon^{-1/2})|^p \\ &\leq C \varepsilon^{(3p-1)/2}. \end{aligned}$$

In the unbounded set $\{z : |z| \geq \varepsilon^{-1/4}\}$ we use (B.13) and the fact $|1 - \varphi_\varepsilon(z)| \leq 1$ to compute

$$(B.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\chi_{|z| \geq \varepsilon^{-1/4}} (1 - \varphi_\varepsilon) \Delta\mu\|_p^p &\leq C \|\chi_{|z| \geq \varepsilon^{-1/4}} \langle z \rangle^{-2}\|_p^p \\ &\leq C' \int_{\varepsilon^{-1/4}}^\infty r^{-2p} r dr \\ &\leq \frac{C' \varepsilon^{(p-1)/2}}{2(p-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now (B.14) and (B.15) together with (B.12) and $p > 1$ give

$$(B.16) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|(1 - \varphi_\varepsilon) \Delta\mu\|_p = 0,$$

and (B.10), (B.11), (B.16) and (B.9) imply (B.3).

It remains to prove (B.2). The lower bound (B.1) gives for any $z \in \mathbb{R}^2$

$$(B.17) \quad \left| \frac{1}{\mu^{(\varepsilon)}} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right| = \left| \frac{\mu - \mu^{(\varepsilon)}}{\mu \mu^{(\varepsilon)}} \right| \leq c^{-2} |\mu - \mu^{(\varepsilon)}| = C |(1 - \varphi_\varepsilon)(\mu - 1)|.$$

We compute first inside the disc $B(0, \varepsilon^{-1/4})$ using (B.17):

$$(B.18) \quad \begin{aligned} &\left\| \chi_{|z| < \varepsilon^{-1/4}} \Delta\mu \left(\frac{1}{\mu^{(\varepsilon)}} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right) \right\|_p^p \\ &\leq C \pi \varepsilon^{-1/2} \|(\Delta\mu)^p\|_\infty \|(\mu - 1)^p\|_\infty \|\chi_{|z| < \varepsilon^{-1/4}} |1 - \varphi_\varepsilon|^p\|_\infty \\ &\leq C \varepsilon^{(3p-1)/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality follows as in (B.14). In the complement of the disc $B(0, \varepsilon^{-1/4})$ we use (3.1), (B.13), (B.17) and $|1 - \varphi_\varepsilon| \leq 1$ to get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left\| \chi_{|z| \geq \varepsilon^{-1/4}} \Delta \mu \left(\frac{1}{\mu(\varepsilon)} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right) \right\|_p^p &\leq C \left\| \chi_{|z| \geq \varepsilon^{-1/4}} |\Delta \mu|^p (\mu - 1)^p \right\|_\infty \\
 &\leq C' \left\| \chi_{|z| \geq \varepsilon^{-1/4}} \langle z \rangle^{-3p} \right\|_\infty \\
 &\leq C' \int_{\varepsilon^{-1/4}}^\infty r^{-3p} r dr \\
 (B.19) \qquad \qquad \qquad &\leq \frac{C' \varepsilon^{(3p-2)/4}}{3p-2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now (B.2) follows from (B.12), (B.18) and (B.19).

REFERENCES

- [1] Ablowitz M J and Nachman A I 1986 *Multidimensional nonlinear evolution equations and inverse scattering* Physica D **18**, 223–241
- [2] Beals R and Coifman R R 1980 *Scattering, transformations spectrales et equations d'evolution non lineaires I, II*. Seminaire Goulaouic-Meyer-Schwartz 1980-1981, exp. 22, 1981-1982, exp. 21, Ecole Polytechnique, Palaiseau.
- [3] Beals R and Coifman R R 1985 *Multidimensional inverse scattering and nonlinear partial differential equations*. AMS Volume 43, 45–70
- [4] Beals R and Coifman R R 1986 *The d-bar approach to inverse scattering and nonlinear evolution equations* Physica **18**, 242–249
- [5] Beals R and Coifman R R 1989 *Linear spectral problems, non-linear equations and the $\bar{\partial}$ -method* Inverse Problems **5**, 87–130
- [6] Bogdanov L V 1987 *Veselov-Novikov equation as a natural two-dimensional generalization of KdV equation*, (in Russian) Teor. Mat. Fiz. **70**(2), 309–314
- [7] Boiti M, Leon J P, Manna M, and Pempinelli F 1986 *On the spectral transform of a Korteweg-de Vries equation in two spatial dimensions*, Inverse Problems **2** 271–279
- [8] Boiti M, Leon J P, Manna M, and Pempinelli F 1987 *On a spectral transform of a KdV-like equation related to the Schrödinger operator in the plane*, Inverse Problems **3**, 25–36
- [9] Faddeev L D 1966 *Increasing solutions of the Schrödinger equation*, Sov. Phys. Dokl **10**, 1033–1035
- [10] Gardner C S, Greene J M, Kruskal M D and Miura R M 1967 *Method for solving the Korteweg-de Vries equation*, Phys. Rev. Letters **19**(19), 1095–1097
- [11] Grinevich P G 1986 *Rational solutions of the Veselov-Novikov equations — Two-dimensional potentials that are reflectionless for a given energy*, Teoret. Mat. Fiz. **69**(2) 307–310
- [12] Grinevich P G 2000 *Scattering transformation at fixed non-zero energy for the two-dimensional Schrödinger operator with potential decaying at infinity* Russian Math. Surveys **55**(6) 1015–1083
- [13] Grinevich P G and Manakov S V 1986 *Inverse scattering problem for the two-dimensional Schrödinger operator, the $\bar{\partial}$ -method and nonlinear equations*, Functional Anal. Appl. **20** 94–103
- [14] Grinevich P G and Novikov R G 1985 *Analogs of multisoliton potentials for the two-dimensional Schrödinger operator*, Funkts. Anal. Prilozhen **19** 32–42

- [15] Grinevich P G and Novikov R G 1986 *Analogs of multisoliton potentials for the two-dimensional Schrödinger operator and the nonlocal Riemann problem*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **286** 19–22
- [16] Grinevich P G and Novikov R G 1995 *Transparent potentials at fixed energy in dimension two. Fixed-energy dispersion relations for the fast decaying potentials*, Commun. Math. Phys **174** 409–446
- [17] Henkin G M and Novikov R G 1988 *A multidimensional inverse problem in quantum and acoustic scattering*, Inverse Problems **4** 103–121
- [18] Korteweg D J and deVries G, Phil. Mag. **39**, 422 (1895)
- [19] Lassas M, Mueller J L and Siltanen S 2007 *Mapping properties of the nonlinear Fourier transform in dimension two* Comm. PDE **32** 591–610.
- [20] Nachman A I 1996 *Global uniqueness for a two-dimensional inverse boundary value problem* Annals of Mathematics **143** 71–96
- [21] Nachman A I and Ablowitz M J 1984 *A multidimensional inverse-scattering method* Studies in Applied Mathematics **71**, 243–250
- [22] Nizhnik L P 1980 *Integration of multidimensional nonlinear equations by the method of the inverse problem* Sov. Phys. Dokl. **25**(9), 706–708
- [23] Novikov S P and Veselov A P 1986 *Two-dimensional Schrödinger operator: inverse scattering transform and evolutional equations* Physica D **18**, 267–273
- [24] Siltanen S, Mueller J and Isaacson D 2000 *An implementation of the reconstruction algorithm of A. Nachman for the 2-D inverse conductivity problem*, Inverse Problems **16**, 681–699. *Erratum* Inverse problems **17**, 1561–1563
- [25] Tsai T 1989 *Nonlinear Evolutions of the Schrödinger Operator in \mathbb{R}^2* PhD thesis, Yale University
- [26] Tsai T 1993 *The Schrödinger operator in the plane*, Inverse Problems **9**, 763–787
- [27] Tsai T 1994 *The associated evolution equations of the Schrödinger operator in the plane*, Inverse Problems **10**, 1419–1432
- [28] Veselov A P and Novikov S P 1984 *Finite-zone, two-dimensional, potential Schrödinger operators. Explicit formulas and evolution equations*, Sov. Math. Dokl **30**, 558–591